Particle Accelerators: The Next Generation

Srinivas Krishangopal

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre & Centre for Excellence in Basic Sciencces

Major parameters

- Beam energy
- Beam energy-spread
- Beam current
- Beam emittance
- Beam stability
- Beam repetition-rate

Industrial Market for Accelerators



The development of state of the art accelerators for HEP has lead to : research accelerators for other field of science (light source spallation neutron sources...) industrial accelerators (cancer therapy, ion implant., electron cutting&welding...)

Application	Total systems (2007) approx.	System sold/yr	Sales/yr (M\$)	System price (M\$)
Cancer Therapy	9100	500	1800	2.0 - 5.0
Ion Implantation	9500	500	1400	1.5 - 2.5
Electron cutting and welding	4500	100	150	0.5 - 2.5
Electron beam and X rays irradiators	2000	75	130	0.2 - 8.0
Radio-isotope production (incl. PET)	550	50	70	1.0 - 30
Non destructive testing (incl. Security)	650	100	70	0.3 - 2.0
lon beam analysis (incl.AMS)	200	25	30	0.4 - 1.5
Neutron generators (incl. sealed tubes)	1000	50	30	0.1 - 3.0
Total	27500	1400	3680	

Total accelerators sales increasing more than 10% per year

53rd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics November 14-18, 2011 • Salt Lake City, Utah



http://loa.ensta.fr/

loa



Energy-ranges for LWFA accelerators

 Low energies (10s of MeV) – well established industrial base with a well-established technology. Not much advantage for LWFA

• High energies (many hundreds of GeV). Colliders. Many issues about staging, stability, acceleration of positrons, repetition rate and luminosity. Important efforts on at SLAC (FACET, PWFA) and LBL (BELLA, LWFA), but will take time.

 Medium energies (~ 1 GeV). Most likely applications of plasma-based accelerators, particularly LWFA. Main applications here would be for light sources – especially free-electron lasers.

XFELs around the world

	LCLS (USA)	XFEL (Europe)	SACLA (Japan)	FLASH	FERMI	ARC-EN- CIEL
Wavelength (nm)	0.15	0.1	0.1	6.4	1.2	1
Energy (GeV)	14	17.5	6.1	1	3	1
Norm. emit. (mm-mrad)	1.2	1.4	0.4	2	2	2
Undulator Period (mm)	30	35.6	15	27.3	32.6	30
Undulator Length (m)	113	133	23	27	32	12
Undulator Parameter 'K'	3.7	3.3	1.3	1.17	1.24	??
Peak Current (kA)	3.4	5	3	6.25	2.5	5
Pulse Structure (fs @ Hz)	77 @ 120	67 @ 32,500	500 @ 60	160 @ 72,000	160 @ 50	200 @
Accelerator Technology	Normal; S- band	S/C; L-band	Normal; C-band	S/C; L-band	Normal; S-band	S/C; L-band

Quasi-energetic beams



These experiements marked the beginning of the consideration of laser wakefield **ACCELERATORS**, rather than just acceleration.

For the first time bunched beams (in energy) were obtained, though the energy-spread (~few %) was still too high for most accelerator applications.

S.P.D. Mangles *et al.*, Nature, **431**, 535 (2004),
J. Faure *et al.*, Nature, **431**, 541 (2004),
C.G.R. Geedes *et al.*, Nature, **431**, 538 (2004)

GeV beams

W. P. Leemans et al., Nature Physics 2, 696 (2006)

The 1.0 GeV beam shown was obtained in the 310 μ m capillary with a density of 4.3×10¹⁸ cm⁻³ and input laser power of 40 TW, 37 fs (a₀ = 1.46). 1.0 GeV, 2.5% r.m.s. energy spread, 1.6 mrad divergence r.m.s., ~30 pC.



Laser Wedges beam dump Charge-coupled device Dicde 2	Capillary +V H ₂ gas OV Belows Ejectrodes	OAP -Laser Vacuum
	Sim	Expt
Q (pC)	25-60	35
E (GeV)	1.0	1.1
dE/E RMS (%)	4	2.5
div. (mrad)	2.4	1.6

How to improve beam quality?

Certain things are well-established:

- ★ Use lower laser intensity below self-injection threshold
- ★ Use lower plasma density higher energy, better stability
- ★ Match laser spot-size slightly larger than plasma wavelength, better propagation
- How to control injection?
- Near-threshold injection
- Density transition
- Colliding pulses
- Ionization injection

1: Near-Threshold Injection

Generation of **stable** low-divergence electron beams by LWFA in a steady-stage flow gas cell. Laser causes ionization, not electrical discharge. The gas cell reduces target density fluctuations threefold compared to supersonicgas jets.

J. Osterhoff et al., PRL **101**, 085002 (2008)



Laser : 20 TW I cm gas cell target 0.8J, 40 fs, a₀=0.9 n_e=7x10¹⁸cm⁻³ Stable e-beam : 10 pC 220 MeV Div = 2 mrad DE/E = 8%



N. A. M. Hafz et al., Nature Photonics 2, 571 (2008)



1

Sharp Density Transition

H. Suk et al., PRL 86, 1011 (2001)

Acoss the sharp density transition (~plasma period), the plasma wavelength suddenly increases. Intial electrons in Region 2, when they move backwards, enter Region 1, with shorter plasma wavelength. When they reenter Region 2 they are rephased, to be in the accelerating phase.





Density transition – experiment

K. Schmid et al., PRSTAB, 13, 091301 (2010)



Density ramp and phase control

A. J. Gonsalves et al., Nature Physics, August 2011



Laser 20 TW, 40 fs, a0 = 0.9, Plasma 7 & 1.8 x10¹⁸ cm⁻³. Energy 100-400 MeV, charge 1-10 pC, energy-spread > 11%. But, stable beam **at low density**



Colliding Laser Pulse



The drive laser creates an accelerating structure. The second heats the electrons and causes injection, into the first bucket.

E. Esarey et al., PRL **79**, 2682 (1997)H. Kotaki et al., Physics of Plasmas, 11 (2004)

J. Faure et al., Nature 444, 737 (2006)



Figure 1 | Raw images of the electron beam obtained with the electron spectrometer. Horizontal axis, electron energy; vertical axis, angular divergence. The colour scale reflects the number of counts which gives an indication of the beam charge. **a**-**c** were obtained with the pump laser pulse only. **a**, The image shows an intense self-injected electron beam with a broad energy distribution ($n_e = 1.25 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). In **b** the self-injected electron beam has less charge but a quasi-monoenergetic distribution ($n_e = 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). In **c** there is no electron beam, because the density is below the threshold for self-injection ($n_e = 7.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). **d** was obtained by colliding the pump with the injection pulse with parallel polarizations, at the same plasma density ($n_e = 7.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). A high-quality monoenergetic electron beam at 200 MeV is produced. **e**, When the polarizations of the laser beams are crossed, no injection occurs.

C. Rechatin et al., PRL 102, 164801 (2009)



With this method, the production of a laser accelerated electron beam of 10 pC at the 200 MeV level with a 1% relative energy spread FWHM was demonstrated.

Ionization Induced Trapping in Laser-Produced Wakes



UCLA

Use trace atoms with a large step in ionization potential

We use 9:1 He : Nitrogen mix.

The two He electrons and the first 5 (L-shell) N electrons form the wake

The 6th (K shell) nitrogen electron is ionized in the wake and trapped more easily by the wake potential than the electrons that support the wake.

Ionization trapping reduces the wake amplitude and therefore the laser power needed to trap electrons.

E.Oz et al PRL 2007

A . Pak et al submitted Phys Rev Lett (2009) T.R. Rpwland -Rees et al PRL (2006)



Laser: 10 TW, 0.8J, 45 fs, a₀≈2, n_e=1.4x10¹⁹cm⁻³

Improve the energy spread at low laser intensity Improve the stability Increase the charge

C. McGuffey et al Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 025004 (2010)



FIG. 3 (color online). Electron beam profiles measured on a Lanex screen I m from the target. The top four images, (a), are from shots with pure helium and the bottom four, (b), are from shots with a 1% argon additive, both at equal electron number density $n_e = 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³. Note the difference in color scale, which represents electron signal [arb] per pixel.

1.4 GeV in 13 mm through ionization trapping



110 TW, 60 fs, He(97%)+CO2(3%), 1.3 cm length, density 1.3×10^{18} cm^{-3.} Self-guiding has been demonstrated at the cm-lscale.

Ionization-trapping – double stage

J. S. Liu et al., PRL 107 035001 (2011)



Ionization Induced Trapping : two stage plasma accelerators



Laser : 30-60 TW, 60 fs, a₀=2-2.8, n_e=3x10¹⁸cm⁻³

35 pC, 460 MeV, div = 2 mrad, DE/E>5%

B. B. Pollock et al., PRL 107, 045001 (2011)

Applications to Radiation Sources

Laser-driven soft X-ray undulator source

M. Fuchs et al., Nature Physics, 5, 826 (2009)



ALPHA-X Beam Line



• Laser: $\lambda_0 = 800 \text{ nm}, E = 900 \text{ mJ}, \tau = 35 \text{ fs}, P = 26 \text{ TW}, I = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, initial $a_0 = 1.0$





ALPHAX experiment



Energy-spread < 1%

Emittance 1.25 mmmrad for 125 MeV beam.

(However, emittance will increase with distance/energy.)





Summary

No problem in getting GeV beams. Still to get ~ 10 Gev beam

No problem getting kA beams, because of the fs pulse-length. However, may have to worry about pulse-stretching in transporting the beam.

Energy-spread < 1% still only achieved by ALPHAX. May be OK for soft X-ray FEL.

Normalized emittance of 1 pi mm-mrad achieved only by ALPHAX. Consistent with size, divergence and energy?

Stability still needs to be improved.

Repetition rate needs to improve only slightly (say 50 Hz).

Must remember that best numbers have been demonstrated in different situations, especially at different energies. Things will get worse with greater acceleration.

Need to study injection mechanism in greater detail – transevrse position and momentum of injected particles.

Work done at Centre for Excellence in Basic Sciences (CBS), Mumbai

Evolution Dynamics of laser pulse in near injection threshold regime

Ajay K. Upadhyay, Sushil A Samant, Deepangkar Sarkar, Pallavi Jha, Srinivas Krishnagopal, Physics of Plasmas **18**, 033109 (2011)

- Laser wavelength = 800 nm
- Laser intensity (a0) $\sim 1.5 2$
- Laser pulse-width = varied from 10 25 fs
- Laser spot-size = varied (> plasma wavelength; ~ 20 μ m)
- Plasma wavelength = $18 \mu m (3.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3})$
- ✤ Homogenous plasma
- Simulations using VORPAL

Evolution of normalized laser pulse peak amplitude



Evolution of spot size





Self injection of electrons due to laser pulse evolution



Histogram after propgating a distance 3.12 mm



parameters	L=10fs	L=15 fs	L=21fs
Mean energy (GeV)	0.57	0.67	0.71
Normalized Emittance	5.9 (y)	7.63 (y)	7.96 (y)
(mm-mrad)	2.38 (z)	4.09 (z)	4.17 (z)
Rms Energy spread (%)	3.59	2.84	7.04
Charge (pC)	7.25	24.0	18.0
Current (kA)	0.98	2.5	2.83
Rms Beam length (fs)	1.23	1.6	1.06
			3.12
Plasma length (mm)	3.12	3.12	

Use of skew-Gaussian pulses

Histogram after propgating a distance 5.07 mm



Pulseshape	Gaussian	Positive skew	NegativeSkew
Mean energy (GeV)	0.93	0.89	0.95
Normalized Emittance	15.45 (y)	37.5 (y)	21 (y)
(mm-mrad)	5.9 (z)	9.4 (z)	6.89 (Z)
Rms Energy spread (%)	7.0	6.6	7.07
Charge (pC)	18.34	36.7	14.7
peakcurrent (kA)	2.34	1.99	1.92
Rms Beam length (fs)	1.3	3.07	1.27
Plasma length (mm)	5.07	5.07	5.07

Injection of plasma electron in laser driven plasma cavity



Z polarized laser pulse



Y Polarized laser pulse



Z Polarized laser pulse



Ypolarized laser pulse



Circular polarized laser pulse





Conclusion from injection study

- 1. The trajectory of high energy electrons show particles which are injected, their initial co-ordinate is asymmetric. If particle is coming from large y its z co-ordinate is small.
- 2. The number of particle coming from large y is larger in y polarized case, and a reversal of this behavior is observed in z polarized light.
- 3. The asymmetry in injected particle coordinate is also found for circular polarized laser pulse, but number of particle coming from large y and large z is nearly equal.
- 4. Injected particles start with more transverse momentum than longitudinal momentum, and drift directly towards the axis. After a time equal to around one plasma period, the longitudinal momentum becomes roughly equal to the transverse momentum. At this time the beam is already relativistic.
- 5. Need to understand details of injection better to improve emittance and energyspread.

THANK YOU!

Beam-plasma accel



Self-injection of electrons due to laser evolution



Circular polarized la ser pulse



Density ramp injection : principle



$${
m v}_p/c=(1+rac{\zeta}{k_p}rac{dk_p}{dz})^{-1}$$
 where, $\zeta=z-ct$ and $k_p(z)$ which depends on z through

en density

$$\frac{k_p}{dz} = \frac{k_p}{2n_e} \frac{dn_e}{dz}$$

For a downward density, the wake phase velocity slow dow facilitating electrons trapping

S. Bulanov et al., PRE 58, R5257 (1998), H. Suk et al., PRL 86, 1011 (2001), T.-Y Chien et al., PRL 94, 115003 (2005), T. Hosokai et al., PRL 97, 075004 (2006), C. G. R. Geddes et al. PRL 100, 215004 (2008), J. Faure et al., Phys. of Plasma 17, 083107 (2011)